

Patent and Trademark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/580,993	05/27/00	HONEA		R	
— MICHAEL J COLITZ JR.		MM91/1019	٦		EXAMINER
		e to the doct doctated as		VERBIT	SKY.G
217 HARBOR LARGO FL 33				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2859	
				DATE MAILED:	
					10/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/580,993

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Gail Verbitsky

Art Unit **2859**

Honea



- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant to the period for reply specified above. 	
 be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expe	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🕅 Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a☐ approved b)☐disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the numerals described throughout the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- A) "witha" in line 15 should be replaced with --with a--,
- B) "the secured portion" in the second last line lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Art Unit: 2859

the invention. In this case, the "strengthening component" makes the claim language confusing because it is not clear what particular structure applicant means since said component is not shown in the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanrath 940873, Fisher 5746001, Beeber 2994958 and Exhibit 1 by Lufkin [hereinafter Lufkin].

Hanrath discloses in Fig. 1 a tape comprising a sheath of a compound fabric (cloth) a of a pre-determined length and thickness having a front face, a rear face, a leading edge, a trailing edge, two parallel side edges, indicia made with a heavy marking in the center of the front face (continuing indicia), indicia along each side edge repeating indicia). The tape also comprises an insert (strengthening component) b.

Hanrath does not disclose the repeating indicia as claimed by applicant and the strengthening component being a single thread or a single filament (monofilament) and that heavy markings show foot numerals every 12 inches and light markings show inches and that the tape may be spooled.

Art Unit: 2859

Fisher discloses in Fig. 1 a device comprising two sets of repeating indicia at side edges of the device wherein, repeating indicia A are spaced from each other at a distance D1, and repeating indicia B are spaced from each other at a distance D2, the distance D1 and the distance D2 are not equal (the numerals A, B and D1, D2 have been added by the Examiner, see attachment to the Office Action).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hanrath so as to have the repeating indicia on one side edge spaced differently than the repeating indicia on the other side edge of the device, as taught by Fisher, in order to allow the user to use the device for various applications.

Beeber discloses in Fig. 2 a device in the field of applicant's endeavor wherein a strengthening component is a filament 10 on both sides of the device. Surfaces of the front and rear faces are thickened around the filaments.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the insert disclosed by Hanrath with two single filaments located on each side of the device, as taught by Beeber in order to provide the same strength to the device by using less material.

Lufkin discloses a device in the field of applicant endeavor comprising a spooled tape having heavy markings with numerals indicating feet measured every 12 inches and light markings indicating inches.

Art Unit: 2859

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the markings on the device disclosed by Hanrath so as to have markings, as taught by Lufkin, in order to allow the user have a more precise numerical indication of the measurements applicable for the intended use.

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hanrath so as to make the tape capable of being spooled, as taught by Lufkin because the spooled tape will occupy less space when stored.

With respect to the particular length, width and distances (size) of the device and the strengthening component, i.e., 200 feet, 3 inches, 9 and 10 inches, 5 and 5-5/8 inches and 1mm as stated in claims 1, 3, 4 and 1 respectively, the particular size claimed by applicant, absent any criticality, is only considered to be the "preferred" size that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found obvious to provide using routine experimentation based, among other things, on the intended use of the device.

<u>See In re Boesch</u>, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

With respect to "whereby" as stated in claim 1: It has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly can not serve to distinguish.

<u>See In re Mason</u>, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Art Unit: 2859

7. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin as applied to claims 1-4, 8-11 above, and further in view of JP 405005601 hereinafter JP.

Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin disclose the device as stated above in paragraph 6.

They do not disclose the limitations of claim 5.

JP discloses in Fig. 1 a tape measure having measuring indicia on both, front and rear, faces.

Therefore, it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin so as to have measuring indicia on both faces, as taught by JP, in order to provide the user with a plurality of different scales and allow the user to use the tape for applications requiring different accuracy.

8. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin as applied to claims 1-4, 8-11 above, and further in view of Ward 202610.

Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin disclose the device as stated above in paragraph 6. They do not disclose the limitations of claim 6-7.

Art Unit: 2859

Ward discloses a tape (fabric) which is coated (covered) with a strengthening component (rubber coating) to strengthen the tape, thus the strengthening component (coating) is located on

a face of the tape.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin so as to have a strengthening component on top (face) of the tape, as taught by Ward because it was held that there is no invention in shifting the strengthening component disclosed by Hanrath, Fisher, Beeber and Lufkin to a different position since the operation of the device would not

thereby be modified. See In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner

Verbitsky whose telephone number is (703) 306-5473.

Any inquiry of general nature should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

GKV

September 27, 2001

00

Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800 [56]

EX

United States Patent [19]

Fisher

	_	
[11]	Patent	Number:
LJ		_ ,

5,746,001

Date of Patent:

1,498,485

May 5, 1998

[54]	TEACHING RULER			
[76]	Inventor:	Delores Fisher, 151 East St., South Salem, N.Y. 10590		
[21]	Appl. Nor	445,188		
[22]	Filed:	May 19, 1995		
[51]	Int. Cl.6	G01B 3/04		

[52]	U.S. Cl.	33/484; 33/49	94; 33/679.1

Field of Search 33/484, 483, 485, 33/486, 487, 492, 494, 755, 759, 760, 679.1

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,602,490	10/1926	Homan	33/494
		Barrett	
2,486,748	11/1949	Koenig	33/494
3,936,944	2/1976	Byne	33/494
4,547,969	10/1985	Haack	33/494
4,614,042	9/1986	Maurer	33/494
		Kundikoff	
4,965,944		Kuze et al	
		•	

6/1924 Schmidtke 33/484

Primary Examiner—Christopher W. Fulton

ABSTRACT

A linear measurement ruler comprises a teaching aid for instruction in fractional and decimal units of linear measure.

10 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



